

Data Gathering Tools and Procedures

By: Dr. Isagani A. Paddit, CGSP Director, R&DC-ECOS The information contained or being shared may not necessarily solely owned by me but a byproduct of constant interactions, readings and acquired knowledge over a period of time. Some texts may be similar but there is no intended copyright infringements and materials are subject to fair use.

Disclaimer

Baguio



Describe what materials, subjects, and equipment (chemicals, experimental animals, apparatus, etc.) you used (these may be subheaded Animals, Reagents, etc.).

What research tools did you use?

What are some considerations or steps undertaken to ensure validity and reliability?

What are the parts of the tools that you used?

Are they adopted from another source? If yes, then cite properly.

Describe the steps undertaken

- 1. Explain the steps
- 2. What was the data gathering tool used?
- 3. Was there permission obtained?
- 4. How did you gather the data?
- 5. How did you distribute the questionnaires or conducted the interview?
- 6. How long was the data gathering?



- Data Collection Strategies
- Characteristics of Good Measures
- Quantitative and Qualitative Data
- Tools for Collecting Data

No one best way: decision depends on: What you need to know: *numbers or stories* Where the data reside: *environment, files, people* Resources and time available Complexity of the data to be collected Frequency of data collection Intended forms of data analysis

Baguio Rules for Collecting Data

- Use multiple data collection methods
- Use available data, but need to know
 - how the measures were defined
 - how the data were collected and cleaned
 - the extent of missing data
 - how accuracy of the data was ensured



- All data collected in the same way
- Especially important for multi-site and cluster evaluations so you can compare
- Important when you need to make comparisons with alternate interventions

- need to address extent questions
- have a large sample or population
- know what needs to be measured
- need to show results numerically
- need to make comparisons across different sites or interventions

• Systematic and follow general procedures but data are not collected in exactly the same way every time

• More open and fluid

- Does not follow a rigid script
 - may ask for more detail
 - people can tell what they want in their own way

- conducting exploratory work
- seeking understanding, themes, and/or issues
- need narratives or stories
- want in-depth, rich, "backstage" information
- seek to understand results of data that are unexpected



- Is the measure relevant?
- Is the measure valid?
- Is the measure reliable?



Relevance

Does the measure capture what matters?

Do not measure what is easy instead of what is needed



Internal Validity

How well does the measure capture what it is supposed to?



Reliability

A measure's precision and stability- extent to which the same result would be obtained with repeated trials

- Data in numerical form
- Data that can be precisely measured
 - age, cost, length, height, area, volume, weight, speed, time, and temperature
- Harder to develop
- Easier to analyze

- Data that deal with description
- Data that can be observed or self-reported, but not always precisely measured
- Less structured, easier to develop
- Can provide "rich data" detailed and widely applicable
- Is challenging to analyze
- Is labor intensive to collect
- Usually generates longer reports



Which Data?



- want to conduct statistical analysis
- want to be precise
- know what you want to measure
- want to cover a large group
- want narrative or in-depth information
- are not sure what you are able to measure Qualitative
- do not need to quantify the results



Then Use:

Obtrusive

data collection methods that directly obtain information from those being evaluated e.g. interviews, surveys,

focus groups

Unobtrusive

data collection methods that do not collect information directly from evaluees

e.g., document analysis, GoogleEarth, observation at a distance

- Choice depends on the situation
- Each technique is more appropriate in some situations than others
- Caution: All techniques are subject to bias

- Triangulation of methods
 - collection of same information using different methods
- Triangulation of sources
 - collection of same information from a variety of sources
- Triangulation of evaluators
 - collection of same information from more than one evaluator

Data Collection Tools

- Participatory Methods
- Records and Secondary Data
- Observation
- Surveys and Interviews
- Focus Groups
- Diaries, Journals, Self-reported Checklists
- Expert Judgment
- Delphi Technique
- Other Tools

- Involve groups or communities heavily in data collection
- Examples:
 - community meetings
 - mapping
 - transect walks



- One of the most common participatory methods
- Must be well organized
 - agree on purpose
 - establish ground rules
 - who will speak
 - time allotted for speakers
 - format for questions and answers

Mapping

- Drawing or using existing maps
- Useful tool to involve stakeholders
 - increases understanding of the community
 - generates discussions, verifies secondary sources of information, perceived changes
- Types of mapping:
 - natural resources, social, health, individual or civic assets, wealth, land use, demographics



- Evaluator walks around community observing people, surroundings, and resources
- Need good observation skills
- Walk a transect line through a map of a community
 - line should go through all zones of the community

• Examples of sources:

- files/records
- computer data bases
- industry or government reports
- other reports or prior evaluations
- census data and household survey data
- electronic mailing lists and discussion groups
- documents (budgets, organizational charts, policies and procedures, maps, monitoring reports)
- newspapers and television reports



Key issues: validity, reliability, accuracy, response rates, data dictionaries, and missing data rates



Advantages	Often less expensive and faster
	than collecting the original data
	again

Challenges There may be coding errors or other problems. Data may not be exactly what is needed. You may have difficulty getting access. You have to verify validity and reliability of data



Tool 3: Observation

- See what is happening
 - traffic patterns
 - land use patterns
 - layout of city and rural areas
 - quality of housing
 - condition of roads
 - conditions of buildings
 - who goes to a health clinic

- need direct information
- trying to understand ongoing behavior
- there is physical evidence, products, or outputs than can be observed
- need to provide alternative when other data collection is infeasible or inappropriate

- Structured: determine, before the observation, precisely what will be observed before the observation
- Unstructured: select the method depending upon the situation with no pre-conceived ideas or a plan on what to observe
- Semi-structured: a general idea of what to observe but no specific plan

• Observation guide

- printed form with space to record
- Recording sheet or checklist
 - Yes/no options; tallies, rating scales
- Field notes
 - least structured, recorded in narrative, descriptive style

- Have more than one observer, if feasible
- Train observers so they observe the same things
- Pilot test the observation data collection instrument
- For less structured approach, have a few key questions in mind

Advantages

Collects data on actual vs. self- reported behavior or perceptions. It is real-time vs. retrospective

Challenges

Observer bias, potentially unreliable; interpretation and coding challenges; sampling can be a problem; can be labor intensive; low response rates

- Excellent for asking people about:
 - perceptions, opinions, ideas
- Less accurate for measuring behavior
- Sample should be representative of the whole
- Big problem with response rates

• Structured:

- Precisely worded with a range of pre-determined responses that the respondent can select
- Everyone asked exactly the same questions in exactly the same way, given exactly the same choices

Semi-structured

• Asks same general set of questions but answers to the questions are predominantly open-ended

*Structured harder to develop easier to complete easier to analyze more efficient when working with large numbers

*Semi-structured

easier to develop: open ended questions

more difficult to complete: burdensome for people to complete as a selfadministrated questionnaire

harder to analyze but provide a richer source of data, interpretation of open-ended responses subject to bias

- Telephone surveys
- Self-administered questionnaires distributed by mail, e-mail, or websites
- Administered questionnaires, common in the development context
- In development context, often issues of language and translation

- Literacy issues
- Consider accessibility
 - reliability of postal service
 - turn-around time
- Consider bias
 - What population segment has telephone access? Internet access?

Advantages

Best when you want to know what people think, believe, or perceive, only they can tell you that

Challenges

People may not accurately recall their behavior or may be reluctant to reveal their behavior if it is illegal or stigmatized. What people *think they do* or *say they do* is not always the same as what they *actually do*.

Interviews

Often semi-structured

- Used to explore complex issues in depth
- Forgiving of mistakes: unclear questions can be clarified during the interview and changed for subsequent interviews
- Can provide evaluators with an intuitive sense of the situation



•Can be expensive, labor intensive, and time consuming

•Selective hearing on the part of the interviewer may miss information that does not conform to pre-existing beliefs

•Cultural sensitivity: e.g., gender issues

- Type of qualitative research where small homogenous groups of people are brought together to informally discuss specific topics under the guidance of a moderator
- Purpose: to identify issues and themes, not just interesting information, and not "counts"

- language barriers are insurmountable
- evaluator has little control over the situation
- trust cannot be established
- free expression cannot be ensured
- confidentiality cannot be assured

Phase Action

- 1 Opening Ice-breaker; explain purpose; ground rules; introductions
- 2 Warm- Relate experience; stimulate group interaction;
- ^{up} start with least threatening and simplest questions
- 3 Main body Move to more threatening or sensitive and complex questions; elicit deep responses; connect emergent data to complex, broad participation
- 4 Closure End with closure-type questions; summarize and refine; present theories, etc; invite final comments or insights; thank participants

Advantages Can be conducted relatively quickly and easily; may take less staff time than in-depth, in-person interviews; allow flexibility to make changes in process and questions; can explore different perspectives; can be fun

Challenges Analysis is time consuming; participants not be representative of population, possibly biasing the data; group may be influenced by moderator or dominant group members

- Use when you want to capture information about events in people's daily lives
- Participants capture experiences in real-time not later in a questionnaire
- Used to supplement other data collection

Step Process

- 1 Recruit people face-to-face
 - encourage participation, appeal to altruism, assure confidentiality, provide incentive
- 2 Provide a booklet to each participant
 - cover page with clear instructions, definitions, example
 - short memory-joggers, explain terms, comments on last page, calendar
- 3 Consider the time-period for collecting data
 - if too long, may become burdensome or tedious
 - if too short may miss the behavior or event

- Cross between a questionnaire and a diary
- The evaluator specifies a list of behaviors or events and asks the respondents to complete the checklist
- Done over a period of time to capture the event or behavior
- More quantitative approach than diary

Advantages	Can capture in-depth, detailed data that might be otherwise forgotten Can collect data on how people use their time Can collect sensitive information Supplements interviews provide richer data
Challenges	Requires some literacy May change behavior Require commitment and self-discipline Data may be incomplete or inaccurate Poor handwriting, difficult to understand phrases

Use of experts, one-onone or as a panel E.g., Government task forces, Advisory Groups Can be structured or unstructured Issues in selecting experts



Selecting Experts

- Establish criteria for selecting experts not only on recognition as expert but also based on:
 - areas of expertise
 - diverse perspectives
 - diverse political views
 - diverse technical expertise



Advantages Fast, relatively inexpensive

Challenges Weak for impact evaluation May be based mostly on perceptions Value of data depends on how credible the experts are perceived to be

- Enables experts to engage remotely in a dialogue and reach consensus, often about priorities
- Experts asked specific questions; often rank choices
- Responses go to a central source, are summarized and fed back to the experts without attribution
- Experts can agree or argue with others' comments
- Process may be iterative

Advantages Allows participants to remain anonymous Is inexpensive Is free of social pressure, personality influence, and individual dominance Is conducive to independent thinking Allows sharing of information Challenges May not be representative Has tendency to eliminate extreme positions Requires skill in written communication Requires time and participant commitment

- scales (weight)
- tape measure
- stop watches
- chemical tests : i.e. quality of water

- health testing tools:
 i.e. blood pressure
- aptitude and achievement tests
- -citizen report cards



Choose more than one data collection technique

No "best" tool

Do not let the tool drive your work but rather choose the right tool to address the evaluation question



"I never guess. It is a capital mistake to theorize before one has data. Insensibly one begins to twist facts and theories, instead of theories to suit facts." --Sir Arthur Conan Doyle

- 1. RDC Policies and Operations Manual (2020)
- 2. RDC Thesis / Dissertation and IMRAD Format (2021)
- 3. https://humansofdata.atlan.com/2018/09/qualitative-quantitative-dataanalysis-methods/
- 4. https://ori.hhs.gov/education/products/n_illinois_u/datamanagement/dc topic.html
- 5. https://research-methodology.net/research-methods/qualitativeresearch/





Questions?













