

University of Baguio Research and Development Center

RESEARCH MANUSCRIPT FINAL MANUSCRIPT EVALUATION by the REC

UBRDC-RM				
Doc	No.	09		

Title:

Version Number: 03 Version Date: 08.01.2015

Effectivity Date: 08.01.2015

Page 1 of 2

		YES	NO	REMARKS
	Methodology			
1.	Was the research design accurately utilized?			
2.	Are the methods for testing the hypothesis correctly utilized? (if applicable)			
3.	Are the data analysis procedures correctly done?			
4.	Is the anonymity of informants/participants respected throughout the study? (If informants/participants opted for non-disclosure of identity)			
5.	Is the confidentiality of information evident throughout the study? (If informants/participants opted for non-disclosure of information)			
6.	Were the informants/participants selected using non-discriminatory criteria and processes? (unless inclusion and exclusion criteria are justifiable based on the nature of the study)			
7.	Is there evidence that risk management measures/strategies for participants, researchers and auxiliary personnel were adopted by the researcher?			
8.	Is there evidence that the informants/participants were not coerced to participate in the study?			
9.	Is there evidence that data gathering procedures were intensively done?			
10.	Did the manner of collecting data, not in any way, violate the basic human rights of the respondents or those involved in the study?			
11.	Is there a certainty that the respondents were aptly informed of the true purpose of the study?			
12.	Is the means of soliciting additional information (interview, ocular inspections/documentary analysis) not invasive of the privacy of the respondents/participants?			
13.	Is there evidence that the means of obtaining additional documents necessary to the study was done in a legitimate manner?			
14.	Did the researcher/s ensure that the participants received full disclosure of the nature of the study, the risks, benefits and alternatives, with extended opportunity to ask questions?			
15.	Did the study endeavor to maximize the benefits for the individual participant and/or society while minimizing risk of harm to the individual?			
II. F	Reporting of Results			
16.	Is the reporting of findings objectively done?			
17.	Are the responses objectively analyzed so as not to misrepresent the views of the respondents/participants?			
18.	Was analysis done prior to interpretation of results?			
19.	Are the interpretation of responses sound?			
20.	Are the interpretations of responses free from biases?			
III.	Appendices			
21.	Are all the necessary documents appended in the manuscript? (sample answered questionnaires, letters)			
22.	Are all communications signed and endorsed by the proper authorities?			
23.	Do the transcripts of interview respect the respondents' right to privacy? (if applicable)			

^{***} for epidemiologic research, social research, and research on specific population (in emergencies and disaster, indigenous peoples, pediatric population, older persons) refer to the National Ethical Guidelines for Health Research 2011 for additional guidelines not covered in the above)***



University of Baguio Research and Development Center

UBRDC-RM Doc No. 09

Title:

Version Number: 03 Version Date: 08.01.2015

Effectivity Date: 08.01.2015

Page 2 of 2

RESEARCH MANUSCRIPT FINAL MANUSCRIPT EVALUATION by the REC

First Draft Comments:					
Decision : for resubmission for submission	n of final copy				
Second Draft Comments:	Date:				
Decision : ☐ for resubmission ☐ for submission of final copy					
Final Draft Comments: Date:					
Decision : ☐ for resubmission ☐ for submission of final copy					
IERC REVIEWER	Date	Signature			